1 2 3 4 5 6	MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LI CHAD S. HUMMEL (State Bar No. C E-mail: chummel@manatt.com LYDIA M. MENDOZA (State Bar No E-mail: lmendoza@manatt.com 11355 West Olympic Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90064-1614 Telephone: (310) 312-4000 Facsimile: (310) 312-4224  Attorneys for Defendant SIRIUS XM RADIO INC.	EP CA 139055) o. 247916)
7	SIRIUS AW RADIO INC.	
8	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	SOUTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ERIK KNUTSON, Individually and	Civil Action No. 12cv-418-AJB-NLS
11	ERIK KNUTSON, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated individually and on behalf of others similarly situated	(PUTATIVE CLASS ACTION
12		COMPLAINT)
13	Plaintiffs,	SIRIUS XM'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT
14	VS.	
15	SIRIUS XM RADIO INC., a corporation,	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
.PS &	CIDILIC VARO ANOWED	TO DI ADITUTE COMPLADIT

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

1	Defendant Sirius XM Radio Inc. ("Sirius XM") hereby answers Plaintiff	
2	Erick Knutson's Class Action Complaint and asserts additional defenses thereto.	
3	FIRST DEFENSE ANSWER	
4	<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	
5	1. Paragraph 1 contains legal conclusions to which no response is	
6	required. To the extent any statements in this Paragraph are considered factual	
7	allegations, Sirius XM denies any such allegations.	
8	JURISDICTION AND VENUE	
9	2. Paragraph 2 contains allegations about this Court's jurisdiction. While	
10	Plaintiff's claims do not have merit, Sirius XM will not contest that diversity	
11	jurisdiction exists over the claims asserted by Plaintiff, but otherwise denies.	
12	3. Sirius XM lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations	
13	contained in Paragraph 3.	
14	<u>PARTIES</u>	
15	4. Sirius XM lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations	
16	contained in Paragraph 4.	
17	5. Sirius XM admits that it is a corporation that conducts business in	
18	California, including San Diego County, and has its principal place of business in	
19	New York. Sirius XM denies all remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 5.	
20	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS	
21	6. Sirius XM lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations	
22	contained in Paragraph 6.	
23	7. Sirius XM admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 7.	
24	8. Sirius XM admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 8.	
25	9. Sirius XM lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations	
26	contained in Paragraph 9, and on that basis denies the allegations, except admits	
27	that Sirius XM provides a trial subscription to purchasers of new automobiles	
28	consistent with the terms in the Subscriber Agreement that governs the parties'	

- 23. Paragraph 23 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent any statements in this Paragraph are considered factual allegations, Sirius XM denies any such allegations.
- 24. Sirius XM lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 24, and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 25. Paragraph 25 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent any statements in this Paragraph are considered factual allegations, Sirius XM denies any such allegations.
- 26. Paragraph 26 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent any statements in this Paragraph are considered factual allegations, Sirius XM denies any such allegations.
- 27. Paragraph 27 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent any statements in this Paragraph are considered factual allegations, Sirius XM denies any such allegations.
- 28. Paragraph 28 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent any statements in this Paragraph are considered factual allegations, Sirius XM denies any such allegations.
- 29. Sirius XM lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 29, and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 30. Paragraph 30 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent any statements in this Paragraph are considered factual allegations, Sirius XM denies any such allegations.
- 31. Paragraph 31 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent any statements in this Paragraph are considered factual allegations, Sirius XM denies any such allegations.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, 47 USC § 227 ET SEQ.

32. Sirius XM incorporates its responses to all previous paragraphs, as if

1	element of a claim for relief where such burden properly belongs to Plaintiff.	
2	Moreover, nothing stated herein is intended to be construed as an acknowledgement	
3	that any particular issue or subject matter is relevant to Plaintiff's allegations. Nor	
4	shall anything stated or unstated constitute an admission of any kind. Sirius XM	
5	reserves the right to assert additional defenses at such time and to such extent as	
6	warranted by discovery and the factual developments in this case.	
7	SECOND DEFENSE	
8	(Failure To State A Claim)	
9	The Complaint fails to state sufficient facts to constitute a cause of action	
10	against Sirius XM.	
11	THIRD DEFENSE	
12	(Compliance With Applicable Law)	
13	Plaintiff's and/or putative class members' claims are barred because Sirius	
14	XM's conduct is not unlawful in that Sirius XM complied with applicable statutes	
15	and regulations.	
16	FOURTH DEFENSE	
17	(Consent)	
18	Plaintiff's and/or putative class members' claims are barred because they	
19	consented to any calls that they allegedly received or otherwise had an established	
20	business relationship with Sirius XM and/or others.	
21	FIFTH DEFENSE	
22	(Injury Caused By Third Parties)	
23	Plaintiff's and/or putative class members' claims against Sirius XM are	
24	barred because any harm allegedly suffered by Plaintiff and/or putative class	
25	members was caused and/or contributed to by third parties over whom Sirius XM	
26	has no control with respect to the time, means, method, or manner by which they	
27	conduct business affairs.	
28	5	

1	SIXTH DEFENSE	
2	(Not Willful and/or Knowing)	
3	Plaintiff's and/or putative class members' claims are barred because Sirius	
4	XM did not engage in willful and/or knowing misconduct.	
5	SEVENTH DEFENSE	
6	(Lack of Standing)	
7	Plaintiff and/or putative class members lack standing to assert the claims	
8	alleged in the Complaint.	
9	EIGHTH DEFENSE	
10	(Good Faith Belief and Conduct)	
11	The acts and statements of Sirius XM were fair and reasonable and were	
12	performed in good faith based on all the relevant facts known to Sirius XM. Sirius	
13	XM acted with a good faith belief that it had good cause and/or a legitimate	
14	business reason to act as it did and did not directly or indirectly perform any acts	
15	that would constitute a violation of Plaintiff's and/or putative class members'	
16	rights. As a consequence, Plaintiff and/or putative class members are not entitled to	
17	any damages whatsoever.	
18	NINTH DEFENSE	
19	(Statute of Limitations)	
20	Plaintiff's and/or putative class members' claims are barred in part or in	
21	whole by the statute of limitations applicable to his action.	
22	TENTH DEFENSE	
23	(Mootness)	
24	Plaintiff's and/or putative class members' claims are moot.	
25	ELEVENTH DEFENSE	
26	(Estoppel)	
27	Plaintiff's and/or putative class members' claims are barred in part or in	
28	whole by the doctrine of estoppel.	
.PS & .P	6 SIRIUS XM'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT	

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW Los Angeles

1	TWELFTH DEFENSE	
2	(Waiver)	
3	Plaintiff's and/or putative class members' claims are barred in part or in	
4	whole by the doctrine of waiver.	
5	THIRTEENTH DEFENSE	
6	(Unclean Hands)	
7	Plaintiff's and/or putative class members' claims are barred in part or in	
8	whole by the doctrine of unclean hands.	
9	FOURTEENTH DEFENSE	
10	(Failure to Mitigate)	
11	Plaintiff and/or putative class members failed to mitigate the alleged damages	
12	(if any) and any recovery by Plaintiff and/or putative class members must be	
13	diminished or barred by reason thereof.	
14	FIFTEENTH DEFENSE	
15	(Class Certification Improper)	
16	Class certification of this suit is improper, and thus class certification should	
17	be denied.	
18	SIXTEENTH DEFENSE	
19	(Arbitration Requirement)	
20	Class certification of this suit is improper as to putative class members who	
21	are contractually obligated, pursuant to the terms of Sirius XM's Customer	
22	Agreement, to individually arbitrate any claims against Sirius XM.	
23	SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE	
24	(Free Speech)	
25	Finding Sirius XM liable for calling recipients who wished to receive the	
26	calls, or did not object to receiving the calls, would violate the First Amendment of	
27	the United States Constitution and similar provisions of various state statutes.	
28	_	
LPS &	7	

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

1	EIGHTEENTH DEFENSE	
2	(Due Process)	
3	Awarding statutory damages to a class under the TCPA would violate the	
4	Fifth and Eighth Amendments of the United States Constitution and similar	
5	provisions of various state statutes.	
6	NINETEENTH DEFENSE	
7	(Unique Defenses)	
8	Sirius XM has unique defenses applicable to different putative members of	
9	Plaintiff's proposed classes. Sirius XM reserves the right to assert such additional	
10	defenses as the need arises, insofar as class certification has not been granted and is	
11	not appropriate in this case.	
12	TWENTIETH DEFENSE	
13	(Reservation of Other Defenses)	
14	Sirius XM lacks sufficient information of all the facts and evidence	
15	surrounding the subject incident and is therefore unable to ascertain at this time any	
16	additional defenses which Sirius XM may have. Therefore, Sirius XM expressly	
17	reserves the right to amend this Answer to assert such other defenses as may	
18	become apparent subsequent to the filing of this Answer, whether in discovery, at	
19	trial, or otherwise.	
20	SIRIUS XM'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
21	WHEREFORE, Sirius XM respectfully requests that this Court:	
22	1. Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint with prejudice as to Sirius XM;	
23	2. Order that Plaintiff take nothing by reason of the Complaint, that	
24	Plaintiff is entitled to no relief, and that judgment be rendered in favor of Sirius	
25	XM;	
26	3. Deny class certification;	
27	4. Award Sirius XM its costs and expenses incurred in connection with	
28	this action; and	
'S &	8	

1	5. Grant Sirius XM such other relief as the Court deems proper.	
2		
3	Dated: March 5, 2015 MAN	NATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
4	Chac Lydi	NATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP I Hummel a M. Mendoza
5		
6	By:	/s/ Lydia Mendoza
7		/s/ Lydia Mendoza Lydia Mendoza Attorneys for Defendant SIRIUS XM RADIO INC.
8		SIRIUS XM RADIO INC.
9		
10		
11	314152019.2	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 ELPS & LLP	9	
.LP	SIRIUS XM'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT	

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES